SIXTH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

S	HELD AT	ON	TIME
эк	. Karachi	December 7, 1972	

The 6th meeting of the Board of Directors of the State Life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan was held in the Board Room of the Corporation at Karachi on Thursday the 7th of December 1972 at 3;00 p.m. as per notice dated the 6th December 1972.

The following were present:

1. Mr. H. U. Beg, SQA, PTS	Chairma
2. Mr. S. F. Alam	Member
3. Mr. Samee-ul-Hasan	Member
4. Mr. M. S. Janjua	Member
5. Mr. D. M. Quraishi, TQA	Member
6. Mr. P. Sequeira	Member
7. Mr. A. A. Toor, PA&AS	Member
8. Mr. S. A. Walajahi	Member
9. Mr. M. Zulgarnain	Member

Mr. M. Sadiq Khan was in attendance.

At the outset the Chairman welcomed Mr. M. S. Janjua to the Board. He said that Mr. Janjua had brought with him rich experience of Insurance salesmanship and he hoped that his inclusion would greatly benefit the working of the Board. The Chairman further observed that the Corporation had just been put on the rails and had yet to be in motion. He and his colleagues had, therefore, a colossal task to perform. But he had no doubt that with their cooperation, the task ahead would be successfully completed. Others present assured him of their whole-hearted support and cooperation in making this process a success. Thereafter the following business was transacted:

ITEM NO: 1 *Confirmation of the Minutes of the 5th Meeting of the Board held on 30th November, 1972.

The minutes of the 5th meeting of the Board held on 30th November, 1972 were confirmed subject to slight modifications under item No: I in the resolution following the draft 'General Power of Attorney' The revised resolution read as follows:-

"RESOLVED that the instrument conveying the Power of Attorney in favour of Mr. D. M. Quraishi, Executive Director, be engrossed and signed by the Chairman of the State Life Insurance Corporation of Pakistan and Mr. Arshad Ali Toor, Executive Director who shall deliver the same."

To consider and approve the Draft Gratuity Scheme for State Life Employees.

The draft Gratuity Scheme for the State Life Employees prepared by Mr. Samee-ul-Hasan was discussed. The following points were raised:

(i) Whether the scheme would form part of Service Regulations:

It was observed that the scheme, in its existing form did not appear to be a part of Service Regulations, as instead of having been drafted in third person, it was in the form of a direct address to the employees. It was explained that the scheme was not proposed to be a part of the Regulations. It was intended to be a separate order of the Board prepared under the Regulations which would empower the Board to prepare such a scheme.



(ii) Service to be counted for purposes of Gratuity.

- (a) It was felt that the existing definition to count such service from the date of membership of Provident Fund, or in case there was no Provident Fund, from the date of confirmation could cause anomalies. It was felt that the phrase "whichever was earlier" should be added to resolve the difficulty.
- (b) It was also pointed out that under the existing definition, some of the salaried field executives who were at present entitled to gratuity were likely to be excluded which might have repercussions.
- (c) For persons joining on deputation from other organisations, there was no provision for counting of their previous service. It was felt that continuous service of deputationist prior to joining the Corporation should also be counted.

In the light of the above discussion, it was decided that the matter would be reconsidered by Mr. Samee-ul-Hasan in consultation with Mr. P. Sequeira who had some typical cases in mind, and that the draft should be suitably modified.

ITEM NO. III To consider a Scheme for the development of Rural business:

The Board considered the scheme for the development of rural business prepared by Mr. M. Zulqarnain. The following points were raised:

(i) It was observed that the cost had been justified on the basis of an assumed premium income of Rs. 10 lacs. This was more than the total premium presently coming from the district of Sahiwal where the Pilot Project was proposed to be set up.

It had therefore to be seen if this was a reasonable assumption. For if the actual premium was far less, the percentage of cost would be much higher and may be more than justificable.

- (ii) According to the proposed scheme the entire remuneration of the field staff was to be in the form of salary. There was no element of commission. Thus it was contrary to the generally accepted principle of insurance sales and might prove a disincentive to production. It was felt that at least part of the remuneration should be related to production. In this connection reference was also made to a Pilot Project already tried by Mr. Janjua, in which the remuneration was partly related to performance.
- (iii) It was felt that instead of one Pilot Project there should be more than one, say 3 such Projects, so that their performance under competition might be watched.

In the light of the above discussion, it was decided that the scheme should be studied further. Mr. Janjua would circulate his scheme also. There would be a preliminary meeting between the three Unit Heads and Mr. Zulqarnain. Thereafter there would be a discussion of the scheme in the Board.

		SIXTH MEETING OF	THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	
₹S	н	ELD AT	ON	TIME
ок		Karachi	December 7, 1972	3:00 p.m
,	ITEM NO: IV	To consider and approv Consulting Actuaries:	e terms of appointment for the	
	taken up along v just be informed	vith those of the Executiv that they have been appo	epointment of Consulting Actuaries might be e Directors. For the time being they may sinted subject to terms and conditions to count' payments may be made to them.	
	ITEM NO: V	Investments:		
		(a) Additional investme years PICIC Debent	ent of Rs. 150 lacs in 9% Eight ures.	
			irmed an additional investment of Rs. 150 tures maturing after 8 years, already made chairman.	
,	8	(b) To consider extension Mr. Nur Hayat Noo	on of date for repurchase of 1 lac shares by on from State Life.	
		for extension of the date of 1 lac shares of Noon 1973, under a sale repu ALICO. The repurchase guaranteed by the F.N.	oved the request of Mr. Nur Hayat Noon e of repurchase by him from the State life, Pakistan Ltd., by one year up to 30th Novembrahase agreement entered with him by the ere at a premium of 12% per annum had been C.B., and the extension will be subject to a guarantee by one year, and other condition	stwhile
	Any other item:			*
	at big cities like accommodation a	Karachi, Lahore and Raw Iready available with it.	State Life could have its own Rest Houses valpindi by properly developing the This would save the high expenses of the accommodation problem of touring	
	Lahore and Rawa should be made to required to be he	lpindi into proper Rest Ho o have Conference Room ld off and on. This propo	o develop accommodation available at Karac ouses. It was further suggested that arrangeme at Lahore also where meetings might be sal was also approved by the Board. It was Il take necessary action in the matter.	
	Executives of the treatment by the	companies used to be give	hat prior to nationalisation the Senior ven CIP (Commercially Important Persons) ntly available to them, resulting in undue e.	
	It was de Director of PIA	cided that the Chairman v	would take up this matter with the Managing	
				CHAIRMAN'S INITIALS

SIXTH MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

HELD AT	ON	TIME	н ,
Karachi	December 7, 1972	3:00 p.m.	4 (\$ 4 P =

(3) Some of the Unit Heads riased the question of disposal of certain redundant and surplus items of assets like furniture, office equipment etc. lying with them.

It was decided that pending the formulation of standard procedure for the disposal of assets, the surplus items might be disposed of by the General Managers for the best available offer through public auction or by inviting quotations. But before doing so, they might ascertain from other Units if any of the items was required by them.

(4)The Unit Heads also sought clarification regarding the correct designation to be used by them.

It was decided that as per Regulations, the correct designation to be used by Unit Heads was 'General Manager'.

The meeting then ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair.

Chairman.

24/12/27